	OGC) (FBI)		£1	
From: Sent: To: Subject:		] r 20, 2003 9:39 AM ess Records	b6 b7C	DECLASSIFIED BY 65179 DMH/CLS
Forwarded Mai	l received from:		]	on 09-08-2005 CA# 05-CV-0845
Original Date: 10/16 From: To:	Message /2003 03:48 pm -040	00 (Thursday)		ъ6
	,			ь7С
	MARION, Rowan, J Business Records			B/C
or using made terrorism castool has langradical milito let us use	up "voluntary" NSL es (try to get info uish for two years ant librarians kick the tools given to - the <u>efforts of NS</u>	s literally head from - with no like us around - tru us. THIS SHOW	ly usage in the ue terrorists b ULD BE AN OIPR	enefit from OIPR's failure PRIORITY!!!
don't forget	OIPR's			the same story)
WFO Office of	Division Counsel	1	b6	
Privileged and	d Confidential	1	b7C	
>>>	10/14	5 2:56 PM >>>	b2	
and proposed of because we had we are not aut about the targ accomplish son grievances and informational means, I guess	gly, we (I should sate order for business of not coordinated in thorized to appear by the same of the saked whether they needs,	ay, Pat Rowan) precords, signed a advance and had before the courtess, mainly, the ir interference would file so said that it would that	by Valerie, an ad not used the tand they don' ey were upset b. After Pat we mething that us would depend on	with a finalized application d they were all up in arms form they had and because t have enough information ecause we wanted to nt through all their ed their form and met their OIPR priorities. Which the initiations sitting on
already production would not.	ced. said that Also, per FISC Rule ot appear in Court u	would have 9, we are told	e it but then c d that we canno	ords form that OIPR has onceded that he probably t file something with the ed list. Does anyone have a
	s too good to be tru t with our names on			able to file something with up by OIPR.
More on this s	saga to come			
ъ6			,	
<u></u> ь7с		1		